

| | | | |
|---|--------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|--|
| Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary | Application No. 10/566,073 | Applicant(s) STRANO ET AL. | |
| | Examiner JUN LI | Art Unit 1793 | |

All Participants:

(1) Examiner JUN LI.

(2) Attorney Tom Thresh.

Status of Application: ____

(3) ____.

(4) ____.

Date of Interview: 03/18/2009

Time: ____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: .

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

None

Claims discussed:

See Examiner's Amendmetn prepared

Prior art documents discussed:

None

Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner proposed changes to correct minor informalities in the claims in order to place the application in a better condition for allowance. The examiner's amendment was reviewed with attorney and attorney authorized the proposed changes.

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

